On Posture, Repetition, and What Attention Does When Left Alone

by Dr. J. P. Lightning, PhD

On Posture, Repetition, and What Attention Does When Left Alone

This work did not begin as a theory, a method, or a belief system.
It began as an attempt to describe something simple and repeatable: what attention is doing in a given moment.

Not *what a person believes*, not *what they intend*, not *what they say they are feeling* — but what their attention is actually doing.

The earliest distinction that proved useful was not emotional or cognitive, but directional. Attention either moved toward experience, or it loosened its grip on it. That movement — toward or away — became the basis for what I called attachment and detachment.

These were never meant as moral categories. Neither is better. Neither is more evolved. They describe motion, not worth. Attachment describes attention being pulled toward outcome, meaning, contact, or experience. Detachment describes attention releasing outcome, creating space, or allowing experience to arrive without being entered.

Very quickly, it became clear that attention rarely occupies these positions in pure form. What appears instead are mixed postures — attachment held with restraint, or detachment held with involvement. These became attached-detachment and detached-attachment. Again, not ideals. Just accurate descriptions.

Separately, another axis emerged: how attention knows what it knows. Sometimes awareness moves through interpretation and thought. Sometimes through structure, form, or observable qualities. Sometimes through felt experience. These became cognitive, objective, and subjective awareness.

At this stage, the work was still descriptive. It offered a map of possible postures, not a way to use them.

What changed everything was repetition.

_____

Why Repetition Mattered

Single moments are seductive. They feel meaningful. They feel explanatory. But they are also noisy.

When I began recording the same kind of listening again and again — often to the same piece of music — it became obvious that isolated experiences tell very little. What matters is what returns.

Repetition removed intention from the equation. I was not trying to change posture. I was not trying to achieve a state. I was simply noticing where attention landed, again and again, under the same conditions.

This is where the listening archive became essential. By recording posture without interpretation, patterns could accumulate without being forced.

Over time, some combinations appeared more often than others. Not because they were chosen, but because they recurred.

This is where the idea of dominance emerged — not as an identity, but as a statistical tendency. Over a segment of time, attention often begins its movement in one direction before adjusting. Some segments show attachment leading first. Others show detachment leading first.

Dominance does not describe a person. It describes a segment.

That distinction matters.

_____

Dominance Is Directional, Not Personal

When a segment is attachment-dominant, attention tends to move toward experience first and regulate later. Engagement precedes distance. Meaning forms through participation.

When a segment is detachment-dominant, attention tends to create space first and engage later. Distance precedes involvement. Meaning forms through observation or stability.

Neither is fixed. Neither is superior. Dominance can shift across time, context, and condition.

What made this visible was not introspection, but aggregation.

_____

From Tendencies to Gradients

Once repetition was allowed to accumulate, something subtler appeared.

Repeated listens to the same song did not always produce identical postures. But the variation was not random. Attention did not jump across the map. It moved locally.

Sometimes the attachment category stayed the same while the awareness lens drifted. Sometimes awareness stayed steady while attachment shifted. Sometimes two neighboring combinations alternated before one became more frequent.

This local movement is what I began calling a gradient.

A gradient does not mean instability. It means attention is adjusting in small steps. It is exploring nearby configurations rather than searching broadly. Change, when it happens, happens incrementally.

Gradients often appear when:
- a relationship to the music is still unfolding
- familiarity is present but not fully integrated
- attention is responding to subtle internal differences

Nothing is being optimized. Nothing is being corrected. The system is simply moving where it can.

————

Settling

Sometimes, variation stops.

The same posture appears again and again — across repeated listens, across time, even after breaks. When this happens, attention has found a stable way to organize under that condition.

This is called settling.

Settling does not mean completion. It does not mean mastery. It does not mean resolution. It simply means that under these conditions, attention no longer needs to adjust.

Settling often appears when:
- a song is deeply familiar
- the emotional relationship is well integrated
- attention no longer needs to explore nearby positions

Settling is descriptive, not aspirational.

————

What This Work Does — and Does Not — Claim

This system does not predict future behavior.
It does not explain causality.
It does not prescribe change.
It does not rank outcomes.

It does not treat posture as identity, growth, or progress.

What it does is make visible:
- recurrence versus variation
- movement versus rest
- exploration versus stability

It allows attention to be seen doing what it already does, without instruction.

_____

Why This Matters

When attention is not framed as something to fix or improve, something else becomes possible. Patterns can be observed without pressure. Dominance can be noticed without being claimed. Gradients can be respected rather than forced into resolution.

This work sits downstream of many traditions, including those that taught me about attachment and awareness. But it moves differently. It is not concerned with attainment. It is concerned with behavior.

What emerges over time is not an answer, but a shape.

And once that shape becomes visible, it tends to remain visible.

Nothing here asks to be believed.
It only asks to be noticed.